Kentucky Resources Council, Inc. Frankfort, KY Page 33 of 74

CURRENT GASIFICATION POWER PLANT PROJECTS

There are currently at least 35 GPP projects in operation, commissioning, construction, design or planning. There ways in size from 500MW, to less than 10MMV, and use a variety of larts such as coal, heavy of residue, waste woods, sewage studge and sugar care bagasse. A selection of these projects are reviewed below whilst a full list of operational and near-operational plant in given in Table 3.

Coal GPPs

Buggenum (Netherlands)

The Buggenum plant is the world's first commercul-sized (253MM), coal-lined ISCC (Figure 11). The ISCC is based around a 54x8 (5CG spainer and a CCC Supplied by Sentent. The plant was started up in 1993, as well as being the first of the current generation of IGCC plant, the project is important in that it contains a number of advanced despin features. The most significant of these is that the ASU and the gas turbine

are very closely coupled together, with the gas turbine compressor supplying all the air to the ASU. This increases efficiency at the cost of making the plant more complex and less easy to start.



Figure 11 Buggenum (GCC (courtely of Demissies)

Name	Location	Output(MW)	Fuel	Gasdier	Power Island	1998 Status	Year
Buggenum	Netherlands	253MW _e	Bituminous coal	Shell	CCGT - V94.2	Operational	1995
Piñon Pine	USA	100MW _e	Bituminous coal	KRW	CCGT - GE 6FA	Commissioning	1998
Polk	USA	250MW,	Bituminous coal	Texaco	CCGT - GE 7F	Operational	1996
Puertollano	Spain	298MW _e	Coal and petroleum coke	Prenflo®	CCGT - V94.3	Commissioning	1998
Vaesová	Czech Republic	400MW _e	Lignite	lurgi	CCGT - 2xGE 9E	Operational	1995
Wabash River	USA	262MW,	Bituminous coal	Destec	CCGT - GE 7FA	Operational	1995
El Dorado	USA	40MW _e (gross)	Petroleum coke	Texaco	GT - GE 68	Operational	1996
Falconara	Italy	234MW,	Visbreaker residues	Texaco	CCGT - ABB 13E2	Construction	1995
GSK	Japan	550MW,	Vacuum residue	Texaco	CCGT - 2xGE 9EC	Construction	2000
Pernis	Netherlands	125MW,	Refinery residues	Shell SGP	CCGT - 2xGE 68	Operational	1997
Priolo Gargallo	Italy	521MW _e	Refinery asphalt	Teuco	2xCCGT V94.2	Construction	1999
Saras	Italy	550MW.	Vistreaker residue	Texaco	CCGT - 3xGE 9E	Construction	2000
Star	USA	240MW.	Petroleum coke	Texaco	2xGE 6FA	Construction	1999
Amercentrale	Netherlands	85MW _{th}	Wood wastes	Lurgi CFB	Existing boiler	Construction	2000
ARBRE	UK	8MW,	SRC willow	TPS CFB	CCGT - AGT typhoon	Construction	1999
Energy Farm	Italy	12MW,	Short rotation forestry	Lurgi CFB	CCGT - Nuovo Pignone PGT106/1	Construction	2000
Lahdi	Finland	70MW _{th}	Wood wastes	Foster Wheeler CFB	Existing boiler	Operational	1998
McNeil	USA	~15MW _p	Wood chips	Battelle CFB	Existing boiler	Operational	1997
Värnamo	Sweden	eww.	Wood wastes	Foster Wheeler CFB	CCGT - AGT Typhoon	Operational	1993
fondotoce	Italy	1MW,	MSW	Thermo-select (moving bed)	Gas-motor generator	Operational	1994
Grève in Chienti	Italy	6.7MW _e (gross)	Refuse - derived fuel	TPS CFB	Boiler and steam turbing	Operational	1992
Vew Bern	USA	<50MW _{th}	Black figuor	Chemrec (entrained flow)	Boiler and steam turbine	Operational	1997
chwarze umpe	Germany	60MW,	Assorted solid and liquid wastes	Noell, Lurgi 8GL	CCGT - GE Frame 6	Operational BGL to start-up in 1999	1997
Vestfield	UK	120MW	Sewage sludge plus coal	9GL	CCGT - GE 68	GT Operational on natural gas	1998
eltweg	Austria	10MW _p	Biomass/wastes	AESE CFB	Existing boiler	Operational	1997

fable 3. Operational and near-sperational GPHs

Kentucky Resources Council, Inc. Frankfort, KY Page 34 of 74

Westfield (UK)

The site of British Gas' Westheld Development Centry in fife is being developed by the US-based fife Power. The Easting BGS gas/less on the aire being relutabled to gastly a mature of coal and sewage studge When the plan is fully operational. If will generate - 120MW,

in a second project at the tame site, Fife Power plans to build a 400kW, unit, also using BGI gesifiers, to gasify coal and household refuse.

FUTURE PROSPECTS

Market Opportunities

Coal

The most important maintest for new coal-fired plant over the next 10-15 years will be China and South and Sast Asus. Sovereix, overwhelmoply in states markets, the technology consens will be conveniously finite botter, as the primary principalises for these markets are low capital cost and high reliability, as well as the next to lookal-source conjument wherever possible. The most important markets for ISCC will be North America. (8-150Vy), and forms if direct by strengt certification shifts, the latter by the Shert amount of new capacity required. The upstall of ISCC or Europe will be constrained by the wedscraped washing in the coal-fined paint so Chees, costain as Order, costained fisc or in exception of these natural solutions of chees natural positions of the wedscraped washing to these coal-fined paint worldwide until its costs are significantly lowered and or steplaling increased.

Oil and Petroleum Coke

These is considerable scope in the short-to-medium term for oil and petrodeum observed GCCCs plant integrated with refereity processes. The try drivers are the reference here to find mouts for the disposal of heavy oil residents and petrodeum code and then need for H₂ to subgrade other referency products. There is scope for two 1s GCM₂ to all belled GCCC in the European strong EUL by 2010 based on the amount of heavy reside takey to be available. To knowner, the actual on-GCC capacity in the EU will be constrained by the available by of natural agt, which is an alternative Novice of H₂. Another supplication market may be holds. These, the despirement of the Amount of the GCCC will desert do noting able to get reliable and secure power purchase agreements (FML). In the short-to-medium term, oi-IGCC plant may welf out-inventer coil GCCC plant

Biomass

Biomass is becoming increasingly important as a fivel in both the EU and the USA behavior of concern over CO, emission. For biomass GPF 10 make headows, they will have to become more cost-completion enables to biomass combustion plaint. Typical projects will be combared heat and biomass combustion plaint. Typical projects will be combared heat and opening themselves are considered from the project of conditional control of co

Waste

Gasification is an excellent, if escentive, way to dispose of wester such as MSW and senage sludge, both finest and cogasified with coal. It has several significant advantages own evide increasion, such as producing only an inest solid residue and elementage the potential for the production of discust. Wester sportscent with 651 take of this intoice parts of Sunger with particularly strong environmental concerns over wester encewation, such as Germany and Sinstealment By 2010, perhaps 13% of new waster disposal plant in Lumpe with the based on galification.

A further application of the gashication of bomass and wastes is the production of fuel-gas for the partial repowering of existing oil and ceal-field boilers. Serves somers are faited you operation. Somass and wastes cannot be used destrip in commissional bodies. Their lies or negative costs are make them attribute fairlies or proceed but they scrool be fixed, as they cannot be ground finely enough. Au-blown gashication converts them into a fuel-gas that can be fixed in the boller; providing a means of waste opposit.

Research and Development Needed

The current weaknesses of GPP technologies are high capital costs, poor releability fall tests for coal-lined (GCC)) and door operational filterbility. The current therefore are high efficiency and environmental performance. It is therefore clear that, in the short-to-medium term, R&D effort needs to be focused on reducing costs and increasing reliability and operability. This R&D effort are between the original control of the control of

- research into the fundamentals of gasification
- R&D to improve individual plant components
- m R&D into better overall process layout and design

Research into the fundamentals of gasification is required to establish the fund flexibility of IGCC sechnologies. This would be directed as understanding passification reaction rates and cashon conversion and as predicting the gasifiability of individual tools and other fuels, substags behaviour and the potential for subsidiar capture in Rudseds deel quisifiers.

R&D is required to improve the following components of IGCC, to make their more reliable and/or cheaper.

- gas/hers/syngas coolers
- pressurised coal feeding systems
- · gas diran-up
- ass turbine
- · ASUK

The required RRD for gestiens and synges coolers is centred on the development of improved alloys and manufacturing processes to improve the corrosion resistance and lower the cost of these components.

Pressursed roal feeding systems (both dry pi systems and briquetting systems) need to be improved to increase reliability and lower costs.

The development of improved not gas clean-up systems could lower the cost of KCC by providing a cheaper alternative to the conventional low-temperature processes currently employed. 880 in required to improve the reliability of both hot gas filters and not gas desurch unsation systems.

The highest priority gas turbine R&D for ICCC is the development of better combustion systems for low-CV syngss. Also required is the development of more rugged gas tombines, capable of reliably running on undicated or partly-cleaned syngia.

Further work is required to allow the successful integration of ASUs into an iSCC. The two areas recurring attemptor are improved control systems for, and better dynamic simulation of, highly integrated ASUs. There is also the need, at the longer term, for alternatives to conventional cryogenic ASUs in order to lower costs.

A key area of R&D for IGCC is optimisation of the overall plant configuration and leyout. Specific issues that require study are

- dynamic simulation
- Start-up and shut-down strategies
- operability
- simplified designs which reduce cost.
- optimum integration strategies.
- combining operability assessments within existing thermo-economic optimisation techniques.

Kentucky Resources Council, Inc. Frankfort, KY Page 35 of 74

Kentucky Resources Council, Inc. Frankfort, KY Page 36 of 74

> PSC Request 2 Page 1 of 1

EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. PSC CASE NO. 2000-079 INFORMATION REQUEST RESPONSE

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION REQUEST DATED 6/1/00

REQUEST 2

RESPONSIBLE PERSON: Dwight Lockwood
COMPANY: Kentucky Pioneer Energy

(responding for East Kentucky Power Cooperative)

Request 2. Provide a copy of the Tender Specification Documents ("TSD") of the construction contractor. Provide the design and engineering of the process if it is not included in the TSD. Were the characteristics of Kentucky-produced coal considered in the selection of the type of process and equipment?

Response 2. Kentucky Coal has qualities well suited for use by the Kentucky Pioneer Project. Kentucky Coal and other fuel components are included in all design work.

The PSD Permit Application to the Commonwealth of Kentucky, Department of Environmental Protection (DEP), and anticipated permit conditions, contain substantial design information for the project. Department of Air Quality (DAQ) within DEP is preparing a Draft Permit for public comment. Since the air permit is a prerequisite to project financing, there is ample opportunity to effectively reflect environmental requirements in the plant design.

Kentucky Pioneer Energy project design information is subject to international contractual secrecy agreements and is therefore business confidential and not available.

Kentucky Resources Council, Inc. Frankfort, KY Page 37 of 74

Kentucky Resources Council, Inc. Frankfort, KY Page 38 of 74

PSC Request 3

Page 1 of 1

${\bf EAST\ KENTUCKY\ POWER\ COOPERATIVE,\ INC.}$

PSC CASE NO. 2000-079
INFORMATION REQUEST RESPONSE

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION REQUEST DATED 6/1/00

REQUEST 3

RESPONSIBLE PERSON:

Dwight Lockwood

COMPANY:

Kentucky Pioneer Energy

(responding for East Kentucky Power Cooperative)

Request 3.

Provide the estimated budget for the project.

Response 3.

The direct costs associated with engineering, major equipment and

construction of the project are estimated at \$470 million.

Kentucky Resources Council, Inc. Frankfort, KY Page 39 of 74

Kentucky Resources Council, Inc. Frankfort, KY Page 40 of 74

PSC Request 4
Page 1 of 1

EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. PSC CASE NO. 2000-079 INFORMATION REQUEST RESPONSE

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION REQUEST DATED 6/1/00

REQUEST 4

RESPONSIBLE PERSON:

Dwight Lockwood

COMPANY:

Kentucky Pioneer Energy

(responding for East Kentucky Power Cooperative)

Request 4.

Provide the preliminary schedule for the project and estimated date

of construction.

Response 4.

Kentucky Pioneer Energy expects commercial operation after a 36-

month engineering, procurement and construction period following financial closure in

late 2000.

Kentucky Resources Council, Inc. Frankfort, KY Page 41 of 74

Kentucky Resources Council, Inc. Frankfort, KY Page 42 of 74

> PSC Request 5 Page 1 of 1

EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. PSC CASE NO. 2000-079 INFORMATION REQUEST RESPONSE

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION REQUEST DATED 6/1/00

REQUEST 5

RESPONSIBLE PERSON:

Dwight Lockwood

COMPANY:

Kentucky Pioneer Energy

(responding for East Kentucky Power Cooperative)

Request 5.

Provide the ratio of the coal to solid waste.

Response 5,

The AFT briquette Coal to RDF ratio can vary and will depend

upon economic considerations, component qualities, and desired performance. Kentucky

Pioneer Energy anticipates a ratio ranging from 2:1 to 1:1 RDF to Coal.

Kentucky Resources Council, Inc. Frankfort, KY Page 43 of 74